S.B.Criminal Misc.Bail Application No.4765/2007
(Kanti Lal @ Raju Vs. State)

Date of order : 25th September, 2007

HON'BLE MR.H.R.PANWAR,J.

Mr. Deepak Menaria for the petitioner.
Mr. Ashok Upadhyay, Public Prosecutor.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Public Prosecutor. Perused the order impugned and the challan papers.

It appears that the petitioner is a habitual offender of committing the offences of house breaking and theft and there are 14 cases pending against him for the offences under Sections 457, 380 411 and 454 IPC which are similar to that of one in hand. In the instant case, allegation against the accused petitioner is that he stole a car and was arrested by the police with the stolen car. Earlier, in some of the cases of similar nature, he was was granted bail but he misused the liberty of bail and again repeated the commission of similar nature of offences.

In view of above, it is highly unsafe to grant bail to the accused petitioner and possibility cannot be ruled out that if

the bail is granted, he would again repeat the commission of offences of theft and house breaking etc.

Having considered the totality of facts and circumstances of the case, I do not find it to be a fit case to grant bail to the accused petitioner. Accordingly, the bail application filed by the petitioner Kanti Lal @ Raju under Sec. 439 Cr.P.C stand rejected.

(H.R.PANWAR),J.

vij